

Coupling of Gravity to Matter
via $SO(3,2)$ Gauge Fields

Yuan K. Ha

Department of Physics, Temple University
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122 U.S.A.

Abstract

We consider gravity from the quantum field theory point of view and introduce a natural way of coupling gravity to matter by following the gauge principle for particle interactions. The energy-momentum tensor for the matter fields is shown to be conserved and follows as a consequence of the dynamics in a spontaneously broken $SO(3,2)$ gauge theory of gravity. All known interactions are described by the gauge principle at the microscopic level.

Einstein's theory of gravity is based on simple physical principles, namely, the principle of equivalence and the principle of general covariance. However, the matter part of Einstein's equation is quite arbitrary; it is represented only by the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields that are present in space-time. This non-symmetrical situation is of course a well-known characteristic of the theory. In order to understand gravity with the other interactions, it would be advantageous to treat all interactions on the same footing and consider gravity also from the quantum field theory point of view. We would like to introduce a natural way of coupling gravity to matter by following a kind of geometrical principle. This is the same gauge principle which has been so successful in describing the strong, weak and electromagnetic interactions [1]. The coupling to matter shall take a rather simple form by the use of a new metric tensor constructed from the gauge fields in the gravity theory with enlarged gauge symmetry. After spontaneous symmetry breaking so that the surviving symmetry is the usual spacetime symmetry, the theory reproduces Einstein's equation with the correct energy-momentum tensor of the external matter fields from its own dynamics.

It is possible to use the gauge principle to arrive at Einstein's theory of gravity [2]. Such an approach requires a nonlinear realization of a certain broken part of the gauge symmetry under consideration. In order that the gauge symmetry describes spacetime symmetry, the gauge transformations

and the general coordinate transformations must be interlocked. This result is achieved through the vierbein formalism. The fundamental objects in the theory are the gauge fields and the action for such a theory can be constructed solely in terms of the components of the field strength. The advantage of this approach is that all known interactions are described in the gauge theory framework at the microscopic level. Since general relativity is a macroscopic theory, the use of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, and the Christoffel connection $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$, may not be suitable at the particle level. We therefore avoid the conventional requirement of using the metric as the fundamental description in the present approach.

We consider a gauge theory of gravity based on the anti de Sitter group $SO(3,2)$. Although a gauge theory of gravity was first formulated with the Lorentz group $SO(3,1)$, the vierbein had to be introduced in an ad hoc way [3]. An improvement was subsequently made to consider the Poincare group as the symmetry group and to interpret the vierbein, which transform inhomogeneously, as the gauge fields of translations [4]. However, as far as local gauge transformations are concerned, the gauge fields that correspond to Lorentz transformations and the vierbein are quite independent. On the other hand, a de Sitter gauge theory of gravity [5] provides a unifying treatment of both the Lorentz generators and the generators of translations so that all ten generators of the Poincare group are initially the generators

of the gauge group $SO(3,2)$. The distinction between the Lorentz generators and the generators of translations appears later when the anti de Sitter group $SO(3,2)$ is spontaneously broken down to $SO(3,1)$. As a result, those generators in $SO(3,2)$ that are to become the generators of translations are nonlinearly realized and they play the role of the vierbein in ordinary gravity.

For subsequent development, it is necessary to present first the salient features of the de Sitter gauge theory of gravity. The ten $SO(3,2)$ gauge fields, $\omega_\mu^{AB} = -\omega_\mu^{BA}$, where $A, B = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ are $SO(3,2)$ indices, are defined on the usual four-dimensional spacetime manifold. The action for the theory is constructed from the field strength

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{AB} \equiv R_{\mu\nu}^{AB} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu^{AB} - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu^{AB} + (\omega_\mu^{AC} \omega_{\nu C}^B - \omega_\nu^{AC} \omega_{\mu C}^B), \quad (1)$$

with the $SO(3,2)$ raising and lowering operator $\eta^{AB} = \eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, 1, 1)$, and is given by

$$I_G = \int d^4x [\epsilon_{ABCDE} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu}^{AB} R_{\rho\sigma}^{CD} \phi^E / m + \chi (\phi^A \phi_A - m^2)]. \quad (2)$$

The symbol ϵ_{ABCDE} is the invariant $SO(3,2)$ tensor and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, the spacetime Levi-Civita symbol. The fields ϕ^A are a set of auxiliary scalar fields with the dimension of a mass and an $SO(3,2)$ five-vector. They are non-propagating but are necessary for implementing the $SO(3,2)$ symmetry of the theory at this point. The full significance of these fields will be revealed later when matter fields are considered. Varying the action with respect to χ leads to the

constraint: $\phi^A \phi_A = -\phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2 - \phi_3^2 + \phi_4^2 + \phi_5^2 = m^2$. In order to establish the connection with the usual four-dimensional theory of gravity, it is necessary to break the $\text{SO}(3,2)$ symmetry to that of the Lorentz group $\text{SO}(3,1)$ by choosing a gauge in which

$$\phi_A = (0, 0, 0, 0, \phi_5 = m). \quad (3)$$

Since the constraint does not involve other fields, it is therefore possible to substitute the condition in Eq.(3) into the action thus eliminating the fields ϕ_A . The resulting $\text{SO}(3,1)$ action then becomes

$$I_G = \int d^4x \epsilon_{abcd5} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \bar{R}_{\rho\sigma}^{cd}, \quad (4)$$

where $a, b, c, d = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are now $\text{SO}(3,1)$ indices, and all the fields in the effective action transform nonlinearly under $\text{SO}(3,2)$ but linearly under $\text{SO}(3,1)$. This type of action is known to be invariant under general coordinate transformations as well as under local Lorentz transformations. In fact, the action in Eq.(4) takes exactly the same form as the MacDowell-Mansouri formulation [6], which is equivalent to the standard Hilbert action of Einstein's gravity, together with a cosmological constant and a Gauss-Bonnet topological invariant. We may identify the gauge fields ω_μ^{a5} , which transform as a natural four-vector in the de Sitter gauge theory, with the vierbein e_μ^a relating the spacetime and internal indices, i.e.

$$e_\mu^a = \frac{1}{m} \omega_\mu^{a5}. \quad (5)$$

For the theory to be physical, it is necessary that $\det e_\mu^a \neq 0$. As formulated here pure gravity is an affine theory with no need for the introduction of a spacetime metric. It is only when matter fields are coupled to gravity that it becomes necessary to define the usual metric $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_{a\nu}$, a quantity which is not gauge-invariant however.

We are now ready to couple gravity to external matter fields in an SO(3,2) gauge-invariant way. We consider first the case of the complex scalar field φ given by the special relativistic Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{matter}} = (D_\mu \varphi)^\dagger (D^\mu \varphi) - \mu^2 \varphi^\dagger \varphi - \lambda (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)^2. \quad (6)$$

Here $D_\mu \varphi$ is a possible covariant derivative of the matter field that could contain interactions with external gauge fields; μ^2 and λ are arbitrary parameters. The choice of this Lagrangian is motivated by its occurrence in the electroweak theory. Thus to the original gravity action in Eq.(2) we would include the following matter action,

$$I_M = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g'} [g'_{\mu\nu} (D^\mu \varphi)^\dagger (D^\nu \varphi) - \mu^2 \varphi^\dagger \varphi - \lambda (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)^2], \quad (7)$$

where we have introduced a new spacetime metric defined by

$$g'_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}_\mu \phi^A \mathcal{D}_\nu \phi^B \eta_{AB} / m^4, \quad (8)$$

with $-g' = \det g'_{\mu\nu}$. This metric is SO(3,2)-invariant since it is defined in terms of the covariant derivative of the SO(3,2) group:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \phi^A = \partial_\mu \phi^A + \omega_\mu^{AB} \phi_B \eta_{BC}, \quad (9)$$

and it reduces to the ordinary metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ of general relativity upon symmetry breaking to $\text{SO}(3,1)$, i.e.

$$g'_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \omega_\mu^{a5} \omega_\nu^{b5} \eta_{ab} / m^2 = g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (10)$$

where $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, 1)$. The presence of the factor $\sqrt{-g'}$ in Eq.(7) ensures that the given action is invariant under general coordinate transformations as well as under local $\text{SO}(3,2)$ transformations.

It is of special importance to examine the equation of motion of the $\text{SO}(3,2)$ scalar fields and remark its significance, even though these fields are non-propagating. The Euler-Lagrange equation for ϕ^A , obtained by varying the combined action $I = I_G + I_M$ in Eq.(2) and Eq.(7), is

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \left[\frac{\delta I}{\delta (\mathcal{D}_\mu \phi^A)} \right] - \frac{\delta I}{\delta \phi^A} = 0, \quad (11)$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \left[\mathcal{D}_\nu \phi^A \frac{\delta I}{\delta g'_{\mu\nu}} \right] - m^4 \chi \phi^A = 0. \quad (12)$$

With the choice of the gauge condition in Eq.(3), it is found that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\nu \phi^A &= m^2 e_\nu^A, \quad A = 1, 2, 3, 4; \\ \mathcal{D}_\nu \phi^5 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Equation (12) then takes the following form

$$m^2 \mathcal{D}_\mu \left[e_\nu^A \frac{\delta I}{\delta g'_{\mu\nu}} \right] = 0. \quad (14)$$

As we shall find, this result is completely consistent with general relativity. It is precisely the statement that the covariant divergence of the energy-momentum tensor vanishes. In fact, Eq.(14) can be written in the more familiar form of Riemannian geometry by identifying the Christoffel symbol through the relation

$$e_\lambda^A \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \mathcal{D}_\mu e_\nu^A, \quad (15)$$

and recalling the variational definition of the energy-momentum tensor in field theory [7],

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g'}} \frac{\delta I}{\delta g'_{\mu\nu}}. \quad (16)$$

With the help of the identity $\partial_\mu \sqrt{-g'} = \sqrt{-g'} \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\lambda$, Eq.(14) can be finally obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} & m^2 \sqrt{-g'} e_\nu^A (\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu T^{\mu\lambda} + \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\lambda T^{\mu\nu}) \\ &= m^2 \sqrt{-g'} e_\nu^A (\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which is the expression for the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor as stated.

When spinors ψ are present in the matter part of the action, the fermion kinetic term would be modified according to

$$i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi \rightarrow \sqrt{-g'} g'^{\mu\nu} i\bar{\psi} e_\nu^A \Gamma_A (D_\mu + \frac{1}{4} \omega_\mu^{BC} \Gamma_{BC}) \psi. \quad (18)$$

Here $\Gamma_A = \eta_{AB} \Gamma^B$, and $\Gamma^A = (\gamma^\mu, \gamma^5)$ are the $SO(3,2)$ ‘spin matrices’ obeying the rules: $\{\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B\} = 2\eta_{AB}$, and $\Gamma_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B]$. The extra term in

the total covariant derivative represents interaction of the fermion with the gravitational gauge fields and hence a ‘minimal’ coupling of gravity to the fermion. The fermion mass term would take the form $\sqrt{-g'}m\bar{\psi}\psi$. If matter gauge fields are further present, an extra kinetic term of the form

$$L_{\text{gauge}} = \sqrt{-g'}g'_{\mu\alpha}g'_{\nu\beta}(-\frac{1}{4}B^{\mu\nu}B^{\alpha\beta}), \quad (19)$$

in which $B^{\mu\nu}$ denotes the field strength, is required. All matter terms so constructed have local $\text{SO}(3,2)$ symmetry, each contributing to the energy-momentum tensor and therefore to the dynamics in Einstein’s equation. From the above development, it is seen that the concept of gauge invariance is even more fundamental than realized; it is just the right principle for coupling gravity to matter, whose interactions are already prescribed by the very same principle.

Although Einstein’s theory of gravity in its original form is adequate at low energy and on a macroscopic scale, it is clearly more natural to consider a gauge field description of gravity at higher energies. The same conclusion would also raise the issue whether the metric tensor itself should be quantized as is done in conventional quantum gravity, with its inherent problem of non-renormalizability, or that the gauge fields which lead to the metric are to be quantized. Our treatment here is purely classical and does not attempt to address the deep quantization problem in this paper [8]. The cosmological constant is another issue that has to be explored. Although the present

theory is identical to Einstein's gravity in the classical sense, the quantum version of this theory might contain new features. A gauge-invariant formulation of gravity and its coupling to matter would allow new insights into the origin of the known gauge interactions.

It is a pleasure to thank Professor Samuel W. MacDowell for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] C.N. Yang and R. Mills, Phys. Rev. **96** (1954) 191.
- [2] For a review, see F.W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G.D. Kerlick and J.M. Nester, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48** (1976) 393;
T.W.B. Kibble and K.S. Stelle, in *Progress in Quantum Field Theory*, edited by H. Ezawa and S. Kamefuchi, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. North Holland (1986), p.57;
F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke and Y. Ne'eman, Found. Phys. **19** (1989) 1075.
- [3] R. Utiyama, Phys. Rev. **101** (1956) 1597.
- [4] T.W.B. Kibble, J. Math. Phys. **3** (1962) 608.
- [5] A.H. Chamseddine and P.C. West, Nucl. Phys. **B129** (1977) 39;
P.C. West, Phys. Lett. **76B** (1978) 569;
K.S. Stelle and P.C. West, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **12** (1979) L205; Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 1466.
A. Inomata and M. Trinkala, Phys. Rev. **D19** (1979) 1665;
T. Fukuyama, Z. Phys. **C10** (1981) 9.
- [6] S.W. MacDowell and F. Mansouri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 739 .
- [7] P.A.M. Dirac, *General Theory of Relativity*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York (1975).

- [8] The problems of quantum gravity are discussed recently by S. Deser in *Trends in Theoretical Physics*, edited by Paul J. Ellis and Y.C. Tang, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts (1989).